



## **Fact Sheet:** **Women and Caregiving: Facts and Figures**

### **Who Are the Caregivers?**

Most older persons with long-term care needs—65%—rely exclusively on family and friends to provide assistance.<sup>1</sup> Another 30% will supplement family care with assistance from paid providers.<sup>2</sup> Care provided by family and friends can determine whether older persons can remain at home. In fact, 50% of the elderly who have a long-term care need but no family available to care for them are in nursing homes, while only 7% who have a family caregiver are in institutional settings.<sup>3</sup>

Within our complex system of long-term care, women's caregiving is essential in providing a backbone of support. In fact, the value of the informal care that women provide ranges from \$148 billion to \$188 billion annually.<sup>4</sup> Women provide the majority of informal care to spouses, parents, parents-in-law, friends and neighbors, and they play many roles while caregiving—hands-on health provider, care manager, friend, companion, surrogate decision-maker and advocate.<sup>5</sup>

Many studies have looked at the role of women and family caregiving. Although not all have addressed gender issues and caregiving specifically, the results are still generalizable to women because they are the majority of informal care providers in this country. Consider:

- An estimated 66% of caregivers are female. [Updated February 2015]<sup>6, 7</sup>

- The average caregiver is a 49-year-old woman, caring for her 60-year-old mother who does not live with her. She is married and employed. [Updated 2015]<sup>8</sup>
- Although men also provide assistance, female caregivers may spend as much as 50% more time providing care than male caregivers.<sup>9</sup>

### **Women's Long-Term Care Needs**

Women are the major providers of long-term care in this country, but they also have long-term care needs of their own. Women live longer than men, tend to outlive their spouses, and have less access to retirement savings such as pensions. In 2010, almost eight percent of all women were age 65 or older. [Updated February 2015]<sup>10</sup> A common scenario is an older woman who cares for her husband and who discovers that there are few resources—financial or otherwise—to meet her own needs for assistance. For example:

- Women who were 65 in the year 2014 can expect to live another 24 years to age 86. [Updated February 2015]<sup>11</sup>
- In 2005, almost half (48%) of women age 75+ were living alone; compared to less than one quarter (22%) of men. [Updated February 2015]<sup>12</sup>
- In 2005, one in nine older women aged 75+ and one in five aged 85 or

older, needed assistance with daily activities. [Updated February 2015]<sup>13</sup>

## Women, Work and Caregiving

The number of working women age 55 and older is projected to increase by 52% between 2000 and 2010, from 6.4 million to 10.1 million.<sup>14</sup> As workforce participation increases, caregiving could pose even greater financial challenges for many women workers, due mostly to lost wages from reduced work hours, time out of the workforce, family leave or early retirement.

This time out of the workforce for caregiving may compound the impact of earlier leave taken to care for a child. Further, caregiving is expensive in and of itself. Whether it's paying for prescription medications, installing a ramp for a wheelchair-bound care recipient, or purchasing consumable supplies, caregiving has a significant economic impact on a family. Women who are family caregivers are 2.5 times more likely to than non-caregivers to live in poverty and five times more likely to receive Supplemental Security Income (SSI).<sup>15</sup> [Updated February 2015]. Furthermore, a 2011 study by MetLife found that 23% of non-working and 20% of working female caregivers are providing financial assistance to parents that they are caring for.<sup>16</sup> [Updated February 2015]

While the costs of providing care are high, the demands on caregivers' time are also substantial. Estimates indicate that some 20 percent of all female workers in the United States are family caregivers.<sup>17</sup> [Updated February 2015]. But women don't abandon their caregiving responsibilities because of employment. Instead, they cope—to the best of their abilities—with the combined pressures of caring for a loved one, their need for income, reliance on often inadequate public programs and fewer employment-related benefits.<sup>18</sup>

Unmarried women caregivers may have even fewer options for balancing work and caregiving.<sup>19</sup>

One national study on women and caregiving highlighted the conflicting demands of work and eldercare. The study found that:<sup>20</sup>

- 33% of working women decreased work hours
- 29% passed up a job promotion, training or assignment
- 22% took a leave of absence
- 20% switched from full-time to part-time employment
- 16% quit their jobs
- 13% retired early

Other research paints a similar picture. For example:

- The negative impact on a caregiver's retirement fund is approximately \$40,000 more for women than it is for men.<sup>21</sup> [Updated February 2015]
- More intense caregiver responsibilities tend to have a greater impact on the odds of retiring. Women who provide assistance to multiple family members or friends have 50% higher odds of retiring than non-caregiving women.<sup>22</sup>
- Caregiving reduces paid work hours for middle-aged women by about 41 percent.<sup>23</sup> [Updated February 2015]
- In total, the cost impact of caregiving on the individual female caregiver in terms of lost wages and Social Security benefits equals \$324,044.<sup>24</sup> [Updated February 2015]

Caregiving places a further strain on the precarious nature of many women's

retirement income, particularly since time out of the workforce does not only have short-term financial consequences. For most women, fewer contributions to pensions, Social Security and other retirement savings vehicles are the result of reduced hours on the job or fewer years in the workforce. Women caregivers are:<sup>25</sup>

- Significantly less likely to receive a pension and, when they do, the pension is about half as much as those that men receive.
- Likely to spend an average of 12 years out of the workforce raising children and caring for an older relative or friend.<sup>26</sup>

Complicating the picture, researchers have found that women who reduced their work hours while caregiving did not increase work hours once caregiving had stopped.<sup>27</sup> Additionally, caregivers who return to full-time employment after caregiving are more likely to:<sup>28</sup>

- Earn lower wages
- Have a “benefit-poor” job
- Receive reduced retirement benefits

Caregiving also has a substantial impact on business. Absenteeism, replacing employees who quit in order to provide care and other caregiving-related activities can have serious financial consequences to employers. For instance:<sup>29</sup>

- The cost to businesses to replace women caregivers who quit their jobs because of their caregiving responsibilities has been estimated at \$3.3 billion.
- Absenteeism among women caregivers due to caregiving responsibilities costs businesses almost \$270 million.
- The cost to businesses because of partial absenteeism (e.g., extended

lunch breaks, leaving work early or arriving late) due to women’s caregiving has been estimated at \$327 million. Caregiving-related workday interruptions add another \$3.8 billion to the burden borne by businesses.

## Health Consequences of Women’s Caregiving

The toll that caregiving takes is not just financial. Higher levels of depression, anxiety, and other mental health challenges are common among women who care for an older relative or friend. Studies find that men respond to caregiving responsibilities in a fundamentally different way. Women tend to stay home to provide time-consuming care to one or more ill or disabled friends or family members, while men respond to loved one’s needs for support by delaying retirement, in part to shoulder the financial burden associated with long-term care.<sup>30</sup> The impact of the women’s intensive caregiving can be substantial.

One four-year study found that middle-aged and older women who provided care for an ill or disabled spouse were almost **six times as likely** to suffer depressive or anxious symptoms as were those who had no caregiving responsibilities.<sup>31</sup> It’s not only care for a spouse that can affect mental health, however. The same study found that women who cared for ill parents were twice as likely to suffer from depressive or anxious symptoms as noncaregivers.<sup>32</sup>

One in five female caregivers age 18 to 39 said that stress was nearly always present in their lives; nearly twice as many as those who were not caregivers and for male caregivers.<sup>33</sup> [Updated February 2015] The negative impact on careers’ relationships and social networks due to their reduced ability to participate in activities outside their

caring role can lead to caregivers' experiencing social isolation, which in turn can impact on their psychological wellbeing. Studies have demonstrated that women are more vulnerable than men are to the effect of reduced social support.<sup>34</sup> [Updated February 2015]

A particularly strong factor in determining the mental health impact of providing care is the amount of care per week that a woman provides. One study found a marked increase in risk among women who provided 36 or more hours per week of care to a spouse. Researchers concluded that there may be a threshold of time involvement beyond which the likelihood of mental health consequences rapidly escalates.<sup>35</sup>

The incidences of symptoms or experiences are not limited to depression. Various studies have identified other common hallmarks of women's caregiving experience:

- A higher level of hostility and a greater decline in happiness for caregivers of a family member.<sup>36</sup>
- Greater increases in symptoms of depression, less "personal mastery" and less self-acceptance.<sup>37</sup>
- High caregiving-related stress.<sup>38</sup>

Compounding this picture, physical ailments are not uncommon. Researchers found that **more than one-third of caregivers** provide intense and continuing care to others while suffering from poor health themselves.<sup>39</sup> Additionally, a 1999 study indicated that as compared to non-caregivers, women caregivers were twice as likely not to fill a prescription because of the cost (26% vs. 13%).<sup>40</sup> Elderly women caring for a loved one who has dementia may be particularly susceptible to the negative health effects of caregiving because they receive significantly less help

from family members for their own disabilities.<sup>41</sup> To highlight this, a 2003 study found that over one in four (26%) of female caregivers reported fair to poor health compared to 12% of women generally.<sup>42</sup> [Updated February 2015]

The physical impact of providing care can lead to long-term care needs for the caregiver. For example:

- A national survey found that 21% of female caregivers had mammograms less often.<sup>43</sup> [Updated February 2015]
- As many as two out of three older women do not take advantage of preventive health services due to lack of information and high out-of-pocket costs.<sup>44</sup>
- 25% of women caregivers have health problems as a result of their caregiving activities.<sup>45</sup>
- Coronary heart disease (CHD) is one physical risk factor of caregiving. Women who spend nine or more hours a week caring for an ill or disabled spouse increase their CHD risk twofold.<sup>46</sup>
- Other health effects include elevated blood pressure and increased risk of developing hypertension; lower perceived health status; poorer immune function; slower wound healing; and an increased risk of mortality.<sup>47</sup>

Despite the physical and emotional tolls of caregiving and risk factors for disease, women caregivers are less likely to have their own health needs met. One study found that women providing care to an ill/disabled spouse were more likely to report a personal history of hypertension, diabetes and hypercholesterolemia. These same caregivers were also slightly more

likely to smoke and consume more saturated fat.<sup>48</sup> Additionally, compared to non-caregiving women:<sup>49</sup>

- 25% (vs. 17%) rated their own health as fair or poor
- 54% (vs. 41%) had one or more chronic health conditions
- 51% (vs. 38%) exhibited depressive symptoms
- 16% (vs. 8%) were twice as likely in the past year not to get needed medical care
- 25% (vs. 16%) had difficulty getting medical care

It is clear that caregiving can have negative health effects. It is important to note, however, that although caregiving can exact physical, emotional and financial tolls, it can also be rewarding. Some women caregivers:

- Reported a caregiver “gain”: more purpose in life than their non-caregiving women peers.<sup>50</sup>
- Reported beneficial effects including more autonomy, more personal growth and more self-acceptance when caring for friends.<sup>51</sup>

## Minority and Low-Income Caregivers

Minority and low-income caregivers may face additional challenges. The poverty rate for single African American women over the age of 65 is 30.7%, for single Hispanic women it is 40.8%.<sup>52</sup> [Updated February 2015] For these caregivers, accessing paid sources of care may be particularly difficult. In fact, lower-income caregivers are **half as likely** as higher-income caregivers to have paid home health care or assistance available to provide support for and relief from their caregiving functions.<sup>53</sup>

- One study concluded that the caregiving time burden falls most heavily on lower-income women: 52% of women caregivers with incomes at or below the national median of \$35,000 spend 20+ hours each week providing care.<sup>54</sup>

## Support Systems for Women Caregivers

Because of the multi-faceted role that family and informal caregivers play, they need a range of support services to remain healthy, improve their caregiving skills and remain in their caregiving role. Caregiver support services include information, assistance, counseling, respite, home modifications or assistive devices, support groups and family counseling. While many services are available through local government agencies, service organizations, or faith-based organizations, employers are beginning to implement workplace support programs as one way to mitigate the impact that caregiving can have on workers.

Frequently, support services can make a real difference in the day-to-day lives of caregivers. Research has shown, for example, that counseling and support groups, in combination with respite and other services, have positive direct effects on health behavior practices<sup>55</sup> [Updated February 2015] and assist caregivers in remaining in their caregiving role longer, with less stress and greater satisfaction. In fact, women are more than **twice as likely** as men to say that they would benefit from talking to someone about their caregiving experience.<sup>56</sup> Further, some studies have shown that actual linkages to services in lieu of information-only programs are more beneficial to caregivers.<sup>57</sup> Because women’s labor force participation continues to grow, employer-sponsored programs will become an increasingly vital resource for

women who both work and provide care to a loved one.

## References

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Administration on Aging. (2000, Fall). *America's families care: A report on the needs of America's family caregivers*. Retrieved (March 26, 2003) from <http://www.aoa.gov/carenetwork/report.html>

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid.

<sup>4</sup> Calculation based on estimates of women caregivers as a percentage of all caregivers ranging from 59% to 75% and findings from: Arno, P. S. (2002, February). *The economic value of informal caregiving, U.S., 2000*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry, Florida.

<sup>5</sup> Navaie-Waliser, M., Feldman, P. H., Gould, D. A., Levine, C. L., Kuerbis A. N., & Donelan, K. (2002). When the caregiver needs care: The plight of vulnerable caregivers. *American Journal of Public Health, 92*(3), 409–413.

<sup>6</sup> Arno, P. S. (2002, February). *The economic value of informal caregiving, U.S., 2000*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry, Florida.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid.

<sup>8</sup> National Alliance for Caregiving, & AARP (1997). *Family caregiving in the U.S.: Findings from a national survey*. Washington, DC: Author.

<sup>9</sup> Family Caregiver Alliance. (2001). *Selected Caregiver Statistics* (Fact Sheet). San Francisco, CA: Author.

<sup>10</sup> U.S. Census Bureau. (2000, March). *Population by age, sex, race and Hispanic*

*origin*. Retrieved (April 7, 2003) from <http://www.census.gov/population>

<sup>11</sup> The Commonwealth Fund. (2000). *Living longer, staying well: Promoting good health for older women* (Issue Brief). New York: Collins, K. S. & Strumpf, E.

<sup>12</sup> AARP Public Policy Institute. (2002). *Women and long-term care* (Fact Sheet). Washington, DC: Gregory, S. R., & Pandya, S. M.

<sup>13</sup> Ibid.

<sup>14</sup> Francese, P. (2003, March). Trend ticker: Investing in demographics. *American Demographics*.

<sup>15</sup> National Alliance for Caregiving. (1998). *The caregiving boom: Baby boomer women giving care*. Washington, DC: Author.

<sup>16</sup> Dettinger, E., & Clarkberg, M. (2002). Informal caregiving and retirement timing among men and women: Gender and caregiving relationships in late midlife. *Journal of Family Issues, 23*(7), 857–879.

<sup>17</sup> Pavalko, E. K., & Artis, J. E. (1997). Women's caregiving and paid work: Causal relationships in late midlife. *Journal of Gerontology: Social Sciences, 52B*(4), 170–179.

<sup>18</sup> Ibid.

<sup>19</sup> MetLife Mature Market Institute, National Alliance for Caregiving, & The National Center on Women and Aging. (1999, November). *The Metlife juggling act study: Balancing caregiving with work and the costs involved*.

<sup>20</sup> Dettinger, E., & Clarkberg, M. (2002). Informal caregiving and retirement timing among men and women: Gender and caregiving relationships in late midlife. *Journal of Family Issues, 23*(7), 857–879.

<sup>21</sup> Ibid.

- <sup>22</sup> Older Women's League. *Women and long-term care*. Retrieved (April 7, 2003) from <http://www.owl-national.org>
- <sup>23</sup> Social Security Administration. (2002, February). *Women and Social Security* (Fact Sheet). Washington, DC: Author.
- <sup>24</sup> Pavalko, E. K., & Artis, J. E. (1997). Women's caregiving and paid work: Causal relationships in late midlife. *Journal of Gerontology: Social Sciences*, 52B(4), 170–179.
- <sup>25</sup> Dettinger, E., & Clarkberg, M. (2002). Informal caregiving and retirement timing among men and women: Gender and caregiving relationships in late midlife. *Journal of Family Issues*, 23(7), 857–879.
- <sup>26</sup> Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, & National Alliance for Caregiving. (1997, June). *The Metlife study of employer costs for working caregivers*. Connecticut: Metropolitan Life Insurance Company.
- <sup>27</sup> Dettinger, E., & Clarkberg, M. (2002). Informal caregiving and retirement timing among men and women: Gender and caregiving relationships in late midlife. *Journal of Family Issues*, 23(7), 857–879.
- <sup>28</sup> Press Release (2002, August). Reverberations of family illness: A longitudinal assessment of informal caregiving and mental health status in the nurses' health study. *American Journal of Public Health*.
- <sup>29</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>30</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>31</sup> Marks, N. Lambert, J. D., & Choi, H. (2002). Transitions to caregiving, gender, and psychological well-being: A prospective U.S. national study. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 64, 657–667.
- <sup>32</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>33</sup> Gallant, M. P., & Connell, C. M. (1998). The stress process among dementia spouse caregivers: Are caregivers at risk for negative health behavior change? *Research on Aging*, 20(3), 267–297.
- <sup>34</sup> Navaie-Waliser, M., Feldman, P. H., Gould, D. A., Levine, C. L., Kuerbis A. N., & Donelan, K. (2002). When the caregiver needs care: The plight of vulnerable caregivers. *American Journal of Public Health*, 92(3), 409–413.
- <sup>35</sup> The Commonwealth Fund. (1999, May). *Informal caregiving* (Fact Sheet). New York: Author.
- <sup>36</sup> Langa, K. M., Chernew, M. E., Kabeto, M. U., Herzog, A. R., Ofstedal, M. B., Willis, R. J., et al. (2001). National estimates of the quantity and cost of informal caregiving for the elderly with dementia. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 16(11), 770–778.
- <sup>37</sup> U.S. Administration on Aging. (2000). Older Women (Fact Sheet). Retrieved (April 3, 2003) from <http://www.aoa.gov/naic/may2000/factsheets/olderwomen.html>
- <sup>38</sup> Older Women's League. *Women and long-term care*. Retrieved (April 3, 2003) from <http://www.owl-national.org>
- <sup>39</sup> Lee, S. L., Colditz, G. A., Berkman, L. F., & Kawachi, I. (2003). Caregiving and risk of coronary heart disease in U.S. women: A prospective study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 24(2), 113–119.
- <sup>40</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>41</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>42</sup> The Commonwealth Fund. (1999, May). *Informal caregiving* (Fact Sheet). New York: Author.
- <sup>43</sup> Marks, N. Lambert, J. D., & Choi, H. (2002). Transitions to caregiving, gender, and psychological well-being: A prospective U.S. national study. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 64, 657–667.

<sup>44</sup> Ibid.

<sup>45</sup> Women's Institute for a Secure Retirement. (2002, November). *Minority women and retirement income: Your future paycheck, pay, Social Security, pensions, savings and investments*. Washington, DC: C. Hounsell.

<sup>46</sup> The Commonwealth Fund. (1999, May). *Informal caregiving* (Fact Sheet). New York: Author.

<sup>47</sup> Ibid.

<sup>48</sup> Gallant, M. P., & Connell, C. M. (1998). The stress process among dementia spouse caregivers: Are caregivers at risk for negative health behavior change? *Research on Aging*, 20(3), 267–297.

<sup>49</sup> National Alliance for Caregiving, & AARP. (1997). *Family Caregiving in the US: Findings from a national survey*. Washington, DC: National Alliance for Caregiving & AARP.

<sup>50</sup> Whittier, S., Coon, D., & Aaker, J. (2002, April). *Caregiver support interventions* (Research Brief No. 10). Washington, DC: National Association of State Units on Aging.

## Resources

### **Southern Caregiver Resource Center**

3675 Ruffin Road, Suite 230  
San Diego, CA 92123  
(858) 268-4432 | (800) 827-1008 (in CA)  
Fax: (858) 268-7816  
E-mail: [scrc@caregivercenter.org](mailto:scrc@caregivercenter.org)  
Website: [www.caregivercenter.org](http://www.caregivercenter.org)

The Southern Caregiver Resource Center offers services to family caregivers of adults with chronic and disabling health conditions and is for residents of San Diego and Imperial counties. Services include information and referral, counseling, family consultation and case management, legal and financial consultation, respite care, education and training, and support groups.

### **Family Caregiver Alliance**

235 Montgomery Street, Suite 950  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
(415) 434-3388 (800); 445-8106  
Website: [www.caregiver.org](http://www.caregiver.org)  
E-mail: [info@caregiver.org](mailto:info@caregiver.org)

Family Caregiver Alliance (FCA) seeks to improve the quality of life for caregivers through education, services, research and advocacy. Through its National Center on Caregiving, FCA offers information on current social, public policy and caregiving issues and provides assistance in the development of public and private programs for caregivers.

*This fact sheet was prepared by the National Center on Caregiving at Family Caregiver Alliance and was reviewed by Phyllis Mutschler, Ph.D., Executive Director, National Center on Women and Aging, Brandeis University. Funded by the Archstone Foundation. May 2003 © Family Caregiver Alliance. All rights reserved.*

Rev. 12/2018